

STATISTICAL SAMPLE (and statistical population)

a population . . .

a population . . .

is the set of all the objects (or the totality of observation results with which we are concerned) that posses the property X (our RV), whether their number be finite or infinite.

The statistical inference consists in arriving at (quantitative) conclusions concerning a population where it is impossible or impractical to examine the entire set of observations that make up the population. Instead, we depend on a **subset** of observations — a **sample**.

Property (RV) X — has the pdf: $f(X)$

We may form n samples, each of size m :

	RV X :	X_1	X_2	...	X_m
Sample No:	1	x_{11}	x_{12}	...	x_{1m}
	2	x_{21}	x_{22}	...	x_{2m}

	j	x_{j1}	x_{j2}	...	x_{jm}

	n	x_{n1}	x_{n2}	...	x_{nm}

every j -th sample has a pdf: $g_j = g_j(x_{j1}, x_{j2}, \dots, x_{jm})$

A RANDOM SAMPLE...

A RANDOM SAMPLE...

... is a sample for which:

- 1 All sample constituents X_{jl} are independent of each other:

$$g_j(x_{j1}, x_{j2}, \dots, x_{jm}) = g_{j1}(x_{j1})g_{j2}(x_{j2}) \dots g_{jm}(x_{jm})$$

- 2 have the same pdf as the X RV:

$$g_{j1}(x_{j1}) = g_{j2}(x_{j2}) = \dots = g_{jm}(x_{jm}) = f(x)$$

A RANDOM SAMPLE, cntd.

A RANDOM SAMPLE, cntd.

The distribution (density) function of the RV X in our population should be written not as $f(x)$ but rather as

$$f = f(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda})$$

where $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p)$ — denotes the set of p *distribution parameters* (e.g.: μ, σ , etc.)

How do we go about finding λ ?

How do we go about finding λ ?

Any function of the random variables constituting a random sample that is used for **estimation** of unknown distribution parameters λ is called a

statistic: $S = S(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N)$

BUT

How do we go about finding λ ?

Any function of the random variables constituting a random sample that is used for **estimation** of unknown distribution parameters λ is called a

statistic: $S = S(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N)$

BUT — ANY STATISTIC S is also a RV!

$$\lambda_i \stackrel{?}{=} S(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N) \quad \text{NO!}$$

$$\lambda_i = E[S(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N)] \equiv \hat{S}$$

more precisely:

$$\hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{S}_N \quad \text{or simply} \quad \hat{\lambda}_N.$$

We say: the estimated value of a statistic \hat{S} is said to be **estimator** of the parameter(s) λ ; the estimation is carried out on the basis of an N -element sample.

PROPERTIES OF ESTIMATORS

PROPERTIES OF ESTIMATORS

a good estimator should be

1 consistent:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}(|\hat{\lambda}_N - \lambda| < \varepsilon) = 1$$

2 unbiased:

$$\forall N \quad E(\lambda_N) = \lambda \quad \text{or}$$

$$\text{the bias: } B_N(\lambda) = E(\lambda_N) - \lambda = 0.$$

The concept of **asymptotically unbiased estimators**:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} B_N(\lambda) = 0.$$

3 efficient:

two estimators: $\hat{\lambda}_N^*$ and $\hat{\lambda}_N^{**}$ and we have

$$\text{VAR}(\hat{\lambda}_N^*) < \text{VAR}(\hat{\lambda}_N^{**})$$

then: $\hat{\lambda}_N^*$ is more efficient than $\hat{\lambda}_N^{**}$. The estimator which has the lowest variance VAR — is called the most efficient ESTIMATOR